

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/849,756	05/20/2004	Anthony C. Ross	80100.034US2	80100.034US2 7358	
30328	7590 01/12/2005	•	EXAMINER		
NU VASIVE, INC.			RAJGURU, UMAKANT K		
	GROVE ROAD , CA 92131	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1711	<del>.</del>	
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/849,7	56	ROSS ET AL.					
		Examine	•	Art Unit	-				
			K. Rajguru	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE - Exte afte - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ped patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no evition. s, a reply within the stat period will apply and will statute, cause the approximation.	ent, however, may a reply be t utory minimum of thirty (30) da ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS froi lication to become ABANDON	imely filed  ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this col ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	۰ ١							
2a) <u></u>		☐ This action is n	on-final.						
3)[	<del>_</del>								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	tion of Claims								
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) 16-20 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
7)									
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election r	equirement.						
Applicat	tion Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Ex-	aminer.			-				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	ote the attached Offic	e Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu			a)-(d) or (f).					
	_			tion No.					
	<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>								
	application from the International E	•			2.090				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmer	nt(s)				,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/849,756

Art Unit: 1762

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-15, drawn to a composition, classified in class 524, subclass
     440.
  - II. Claims 16-20, drawn to a method, classified in class 430, subclass 207.

    The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product such as a hydrogel.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. During a telephone conversation with Attorney Jonathan Spangler on December 08, 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of II, claims 16-20. Applicant in replying to this Office action must make affirmation of this election. Claims 1-15 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 1762

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

- 3. Claims 16-20 are presented for examination.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 16, 19 & 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mannschedel (US 6126446) in view of Shoher et al (US 5272184).

Mannschedel discloses composition for filling tooth root canals comprising an isoprene-based powder and a sealer (abstract). The powder is transpolvisoprene.

Application/Control Number: 10/849,756

Art Unit: 1762

gutta-percha, balata or mixture thereof (col. 2, lines 3-9). The sealer is used at 50% by wt. of composition (col. 3, line 10-16). Some additives such as fillers, x-ray contact agents, dyes, and titanium dioxide may be included (col. 3, lines 11-16). In the method of preparation (col. 3, lines 40-46), the additives are incorporated during or after comminution of isoprene-based material. This means that the additive/s is/are dispersed into the polymer matrix. The composition can be applied with a syringe (col. 3, line 56).

Mannschedel does not mention particles of titanium, or gold and also titanium whiskers.

Shoher discloses a metal composite for filling a dental cavity. The composite comprises particles of high-fusing-temp metal, particles of low-fusing-temp metal and a binder substantially of wax (col. 2, lines 61-68). Gold particles are preferably added (col. 4, lines 8-109; 19-21). Average particle size of metals is 2-20 microns (col. 4, lines 26-27). Metals from fourth group of elements of the periodic table are also suitable (col. 4, lines 7-8). Titanium metal is one element in that group.

Therefore it would have been obvious to use in the composition of Mannschedel, titanium or gold particles (taught by Shoher) because these are called noble metals due to their non-reactive character thereby providing a composition, which is safe for using in human body for considerably longer periods than other metals or non-metals.

6. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mannschedel (US 6126446) in view of Shoher et al (US 5272184) as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Kosegaki et al (US 4569736).

Art Unit: 1762

Combination of Mannschedel and Shoher does not mention sterilization of composition.

Kosegaki discloses polyolefin composition (for medical instruments), which has been sterilized with gamma irradiation.

It would have therefore been obvious to sterilize the composition of Mannschedel in order to render it free from bacteria so that it can be used for root canals without any problem/s later due to infection/s.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Umakant K Rajguru whose telephone number is 571-272-1077. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-9306. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/849,756

Art Unit: 1762

January 4, 2005

Page 6

Supervisory Patent Examinar Technology Center 1700